UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,518	03/02/2007	Auturo Fregoso-Infante	FREGOSO 1	2463
1444 7590 03/03/2009 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
624 NINTH ST		TISCHLER, FRANCES		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/587,518	FREGOSO-INFANTE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANCES TISCHLER	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time.	J lely filed
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	cause the application to become ABANDONE	O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This allower Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 15-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction access and the correction access access and the correction acc	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/587,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim objections not disclosed below are deemed withdrawn.

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: "alkal" should be changed for "alkali". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yazaki et al (US 6,580,005) in view of Mays (US 3,801,273).

Application/Control Number: 10/587,518

Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Yazaki discloses (abstract, column 2, lines 11 – 38, column 4, lines 25 – 47, claims 1 - 3) a process for recycling PET waste from beverage bottles and the like, comprising:

- (a) a decomposition reaction with an alcohol and a base metal, the base metal being equi-molar or excess-molar relative to the PET, to form the salt of terephthalic acid and ethylene glycol
- (b) a solid-liquid separation where the salt of the terephthalic acid is separated from the alcohol and water is added; the salt of terephthalic acid is dissolved in water while insoluble impurities are removed
- (c) a crystallization step where a strong acid is added the solution of the salt of terephthalic acid to crystallize the latter
- (d) a separation of the terephthalic acid crystals followed by washing them and drying them
- (e) the alcohol is distilled and returned to step (a) (column 2, lines 63 end, column 3, lines 1 9, column 17, lines 34 36).

The temperature of the decomposition reaction ranges from 130 to 180°C, reading on Applicant's boiling point of alcohols such as ethylene glycol, which is 197.3°C (column 5, lines 41 – 58). Water is added in step (b) at 80°C (column 12, lines 50 – 65), reading on applicant's below 90 °C. The reaction is carried out at atmospheric pressures (column 17, lines 18 – 57). Yazaki discloses (column 13, lines 29 - 35) using sulfuric acid until the pH reaches about 2 to 4 at which point terephthalic acid is precipitated out as crystals. Other acids such as hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, etc. can

Application/Control Number: 10/587,518

Art Unit: 1796

also be used. Yazaki discloses (abstract, column 2, lines 12 - 38, column 14, lines 37 - 64, claims 1 - 3) vacuum filtering, washing and purifying the terephthalic acid crystals.

Page 4

Mays discloses (abstract, column 2, lines 34 – 55, column 4, lines 23 – 33, example 1) a method of recovering waste cellulosic fibers from polyesters, such as PET, by heating it to 212 – 275 °C, treating it with aqueous alkali metal hydroxide, such as sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide, and an alcohol in order to decompose the waste polyester. The alcohol can be aliphatic monohydroxy alcohols such as methyl, ethyl, propyl, butyl, etc., or dihydroxy alcohols such as ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, propylene glycol, etc.

Yazaki discloses (column 11, lines 55 – 65) that approximately 80% of the base metal should be sodium carbonate with 20% or less of alkali metal hydroxide, such as sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide, because containing the amount of NaOH or KOH increases the efficiency of the decomposition reaction and, further, sodium carbonate is cheaper than sodium hydroxide. Yazaki fails to teach the use exclusively of sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have varied the amount of sodium hydroxide and sodium carbonate as necessary through routine optimization to obtain the desired results of efficiency and cost. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have performed Yazaki's process using

100% sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide as taught by Mays, since Mays uses said metal hydroxides with alcohol to break down the polyester.

Yazaki discloses (column 10, lines 64 – end) the use of ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and the like as the alcohols used in the process, but fails to specifically disclose water insoluble alcohols and monohydric alcohols with 4 to 8 carbon atoms. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to have substituted Yazaki's diols with Mays' monoalcohols since Mays discloses that they can be interchangeable for the same purpose of decomposing PET with the further use of an alkali hydroxide. It is noted that applicant admits (page 6, lines 18 – 25) that mono or polyhydric alcohols can be used, and especially preferred is a methanol/ethanol mixture.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 - 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANCES TISCHLER whose telephone number is (571)270-5458. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM - 5:00 PM; off every other Friday.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Irina S. Zemel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Frances Tischler Examiner Art Unit 1796

/FT/